**Mathematical Model of a Dynamic Natural Gas System for** **the Submission Entitled: A Modified Rank Minimization Algorithm for Nonconvex Dynamic Natural Gas System Dispatch Problems**

In this supplement, the detailed formulations are given for the nonconvex dynamic natural gas system (DNGS), novel rank minimization algorithm (NRMA), and primal, dual, and positive semidefinite (PSD) models, denoted as NC, NR, P, D, and PR models, respectively, in the submission entitled: *A modified rank minimization algorithm for nonconvex dynamic natural gas system dispatch problems*.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Variables* | Nomenclature |
|  | Served gas demand of gas-fired unit *u* |
|  | Mass flow rate through segmentation points of pipe *p* |
|  | Gas density at nodes or segmentation points of pipes |
|  | Gas supply of well *g* at time *t* |
|  | Lifting variable associated with segmentation points of pipe *p* |
|  | Dual variables for equality constraints |
|  | Dual variables for inequality constraints |
|  | Gas demand of compressor *c* at time *t* |
| *Sets* |  |
|  | Set of nodes in gas network |
|  | Set of nodes with compressors |
|  | Set of gas wells |
|  | Set of hours |
|  | Set of gas-fired units |
|  | Set of pipes in gas network |
|  | Set of all segmentation points of pipe *p* |
|  | Set of time intervals |
| *Parameters* |  |
|  | Speed of sound (m/s) |
| *D* | Diameter of natural gas pipe (m) |
|  | Demand of natural gas load *l* at time *t* |
| *f* | Friction factor of natural gas pipes |
|  | Gas consumption function of gas-fired unit *u* |
|  | Lower/upper bounds for density at node *j* |
|  | Lower/upper bounds for natural gas well *g* |
|  | Gas density at reference node |
|  | Ratio of compressors in natural gas network |
|  | Number of segmentation points in pipe *p* |
|  | Value of lost load in natural gas systems |
|  | Cost multiplier of the natural gas well *g* |
|  | Natural gas consumption coefficients of compressor *c* |
|  | Small range for the system linepack level to vary |
|  | Length of natural gas pipe segments in pipe *p* |
|  | Time step duration |

## **Gas flow dynamics:**

The dynamic characteristics of the natural gas flow are described by partial differential equations (PDEs) below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (a1) |
|  | (a2) |
|  | (a3) |

In (a), the gas pressure (), gas density (), and mass flow (*m*) are related by the PDEs in terms of time and space. Generally, the term  in (a2) can be omitted [1], so this simplification is also adopted here. Then, the above PDEs can be transformed into algebraic equations (i.e., (b12)-(b14)) by the finite difference method, and the following nonconvex Model NC is obtained.

**Nonconvex *DNGS* model (Model NC):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (b1) |
| Subject to |  |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |
|  | (b) |

The objective function (b1) is composed of two elements. The first term is the operational cost of gas wells, and the second term is the penalty cost generated by the gas demand of gas-fired units that are not served. The output of natural gas wells and the gas density at each node, at time *t*, are limited by (b2) and (b3), respectively. The natural gas density relationship at each node is described in (b4) and (b5). The gas density  at the reference node is constant, as shown in (b6). The compressor model is presented in (b7) and (b8). Constraint (b7) means that the density can be increased up to  times in the compressor nodes, which is a parameter greater than 1. Constraint (b8) describes the relationship between the gas consumption of the compressor and the gas flow through the compressor. Constraint (b9) limits the demand of gas-fired units, which means that the natural gas demand of gas-fired units can be shed. The natural gas supply-demand balance for each node is ensured by (b10). Constraint (b11) limits the change in linepack to ensure that the linepack storage should be recovered every 24 hours. Based on the time step  and the spatial step , the PDEs in (a) are transformed into algebraic equations (b12), (b13), and (b14). The equations included in (b14) are nonlinear, which makes the whole problem (b) nonconvex.

The compact formulation of Model NC is given below.

# **Compact formulation of Model NC:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (c) |
| Subject to: | (c) |
|  | (c) |
|  | (c) |

where ***x*** is a vector of all variables  and ,  is a vector of dual variables , and  is a vector of dual variables .  and are the coefficient matrix and vector corresponding to the equation constraints (b4)-(b6), (b8), (b10), and (b12)-(b13), respectively.  and  are the coefficient matrix and vector corresponding to the inequality constraints (b2)-(b3), (b7), (b9), and (b11), respectively.  is the variable cost of the natural gas system (i.e., ). The constant  has no effect on the derivation and conclusion, so it is omitted in the compact formulation.

# **Compact formulation of the primal model (Model P):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (d) |
| Subject to: | (d) |
|  | (d) |

where the bold matrices and vectors  have the same definitions as explained below in Model NC above.

Compared with Model NC, the nonconvex constraint (c3) is removed from Model P. Therefore, it is clear that Model P is linear and has the following dual form.

# **Compact formulation of the dual model (Model D):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (e1) |
| Subject to: | (e2) |
|  | (e3) |

where the bold matrices and vectors  have the same definitions as explained below in Model NC above.

# **Compact formulation of the PSD model (Model PR):**

The nonconvex constraint (c3) in Model NC is converted to the PSD constraint (f4); then, the convex Model PR is shown as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (f1) |
| Subject to: | (f) |
|  | (f) |
|  | (f) |

where the bold matrices and vectors  have the same definitions as explained in Model NC above.

# **Compact formulation of Model NR (what NRMA actually solves):**

NRMA in [2] solves Model NR instead of the original Model NC. In Model NR, the objective function (g1), which minimizes the rank of the PSD matrices, is introduced to tighten this relaxation to obtain a feasible solution to Model NC. The dual constraint (g4) and primal-dual objective pairing constraint (g5) are introduced to ensure the optimality of dynamic natural gas system dispatch problems.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (g) |
| Subject to: |  |
|  | (g) |
|  | (g) |
|  | (g) |
|  | (g) |

where the bold matrices and vectors  have the same definitions as explained in Model NC above.
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